

## UNITED STATES SEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTO	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/589,7	30 06/08/0			D	99,267
		· -	7 [	EXAMINER	
JOHN C MCMAHON		HM22/1009		LEVY, N	
P O BOX	30069			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KANDAD L	ITY MO 64112			1616	
				DATE MAILED:	
					10/09/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No. Applicant(s)	
		oN od
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit / C/ ( 2	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address	) <del></del>
P riod for Reply	5 0 M >	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING D	ATE
from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defar	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MON reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely ult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication atute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		_•
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>		
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19</li> </ul>	pt for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disp sition of Claims		
Ş-€laim(s)	is/are pending in the application	). 1.
•	is/are withdrawn from considera	
□ Claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)		•
8-Claim(s) -32	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or elector requirement.	tion
Application Papers	roquionona.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ing Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	ected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
$\hfill \Box$ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. & 11 9(a)-(d)	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.	of the priority documents have been	
•	of the priority documents have been	
<ul><li>□ received.</li><li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num</li></ul>	of the priority documents have been  aber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
<ul> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the In</li> <li>*Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	of the priority documents have been  aber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
<ul> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the In</li> <li>*Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	of the priority documents have been  aber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
<ul> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the In</li> <li>*Certified copies not received:</li> </ul> Attachment(s)	of the priority documents have been  aber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	ΓO-152

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/589,730

Art Unit: 1616

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-18, 27-32, drawn to implants, classified in class 424, subclass 422.
- II. Claims 19-26, drawn to methods, classified in class 604, subclass 61.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the products as claimed can be used in a materially different process, such as surgical insertion.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of dosage form: single or multiple.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-8, 11-24 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/589,730

Art Unit: 1616

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species of agent: parasiticides, estrus suppressants, sulfur, ivermectins, tetracyclines, somatotrapins sonadotropins.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, s 1-7, 9, 10, 13, 19-21, 24-28, 32 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/589,730

Art Unit: 1616

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/589,730

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neil Levy whose telephone number is (703) 308-2412. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Levy:mv

September 18, 2001

NEIL S. LEVY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Werley